

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,811	07/09/2003	Masahiko Ogawa	240004US0CONT	8031
22850	7590 02/24/2005		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ELHILO, EISA B	
	IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ŕ		1751	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	L
10/614,811	OGAWA ET AL.	Ψ
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eisa B Elhilo	1751	

	Eisa B Elhilo	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The second the second compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR e reply must be filed within one of t	which places the appli 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation in st for Continued
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>		in the final rejection, wh	ichover is later In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appewas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	is of the date of filing t	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		II be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
IO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•	, ,,	*
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.  Other:		7- DIL	
	٠,	Zisa Elisto	/
		Eisa Elhilo	
		Patent Examiner Art Unit 1751	
		7/22/05	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Art Unit: 1751

Continuation of 11. Does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant has not presented any additional data or showing to overcome the rejection of record.

The arguments filed on 1/21/2005, merely rehash the arguments presented earlier, which were

fully responded by the examiner in previous office action dated 11/3/2004.

Further, with respect to the argument that the molar ratio of ammonia or an ammonium salts with carbonates other than ammonium salts is important for the purposes of reducing the irritating odor of the first pack and enhancing the bleaching power of the hair dye formulation and reducing irritation to the scalp, the applicant has not provided a data or showing to indicate that the claimed dyeing method demonstrates unobvious and unexpected results over the dyeing composition of the closest prior art that does not teach or disclose a water soluble salts of iron or the molar ratio of ammonia or an ammonium salt with carbonates.